

**REMARKS**

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Office Action dated 23 July 2004. In that Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-12 and 15-25 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent 6,718,619. Accordingly, a Terminal Disclaimer to Obviate a Double Patenting Rejection Over a "Prior" Patent is submitted herewith, along with the requisite Terminal Disclaimer fee.

It is respectfully submitted, therefore, that the subject Patent Application has now been placed fully in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE



Jun Y. Lee  
Registration #40,262

Dated: 9/28/2004

Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410) 465-6678